IAP15 Rec'd PCT/PTQ 1.6 OCT 2006

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM		Application Number		10/538,163			
		Filing Date		June 2, 2006			
		First Named Inventor		Danny A. Grant			
		Art Unit		2182			
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)		Examiner Name		unassigned			
Total Number of Pages in This Submission 30		Attorney Docket N	umber	IMMR-01	52B (034701-512)		
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)							
Fee Transmittal Form Drawing(s				After Allowance Communication to TC			
Fee Attached Licensing		-related Papers		Appeal Communication to Board			
		Petition		of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC			
Amendment / Reply				(Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)			
After Final		Convert to a al Application		Proprietary Information			
Affidavits/declaration(s)		Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address			Status Letter		
Extension of Time Request	Terminal	Terminal Disclaimer			Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):		
		Request for Refund			Response to decision on request under 37 CFR 1.497(d); Copy of decision on request under 37 CFR 1.497(d); Declaration &		
Express Abandonment Request .	CD, Numl	CD, Number of CD(s)					
Information Disclosure Statemen		Landscape Table on CD			torney		
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)	Remarks		i				
Reply to Missing Parts/	'						
Incomplete Application							
Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR1.52 or 1.53							
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm Thelen Reid & Priest LLP							
Signature							
Printed Name							
Date October 11, 2		Reg. No.		31,562			
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING							
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.							
Signature							
Typed or printed name Monic	Pizarro			Date	October 11, 2006		

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Docket No.: IMMR-0152B (034701-000512)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT:

Danny A. Grant et al.

SERIAL NO.:

10/538,163

CONFIRMATION NO.: 3281

FILING DATE:

June 2, 2006

TITLE:

Haptic Messaging In Handheld Communication Devices

EXAMINER:

unassigned

ART UNIT:

2182

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: MAIL STOP PCT, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date printed below:

Date: 10/11/06

Signature:

Monica Pizarro

MAIL STOP PCT Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO DECISION ON REQUEST UNDER 37 CFR 1.497(d)

Responsive to the Decision on Request Under 37 CFR 1.497 (d) dated **September 11**, **2006**, please find the following:

- 1. Declaration & Power of Attorney executed by the inventor (s)
- 2. Copy of Decision on Request Under 37 CFR 1.497 (d)

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-1698.

Respectfully submitted,

THELEN REID & PRIEST LLP

Dated: October 11, 2006

David B. Ritchie Reg. No. 31,562

Thelen Reid & Priest LLP P.O. Box 640640 San Jose, CA 95164-0640 Tel. (408) 292-5800 Fax. (408) 287-8040

DBR _MP

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RECEIVED

IMMERSION - THELEN REID & PRIEST L.L.P THELEN REID & PRIEST L.L.P P.O. BOX 640640 SAN JOSE CA 95164-0640

SEP 1 3 2006

In re Application of GRANT et al.

Application No.: 10/538,163 PCT No.: PCT/US03/38900

Int. Filing Date: 08 December 2003

Priority Date: 08 December 2002 Atty Docket No.:IMMR-0152B(34701-000512)

Atty Docket No.:IMMR-0152B(34701-000512)
For: HAPTIC MESSAGING IN HANDHELD

COMMUNICATION DEVICES

THELEN REID & PRIEST IP DOCKETING

DECISION ON REQUEST

UNDER 37 CFR-1.497(d)

This is a decision on applicants' "Response to Notice to File Missing Parts", treated as a petition to correct inventorship under 37 CFR 1.497(d), and filed on 02 June 2006 in the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO). Petitioner seeks to add Erik J. Shahoian and Dean C. Chang as inventors in the above referenced application. The requisite \$130 petition fee was paid.

BACKGROUND

On 08 June 2005, applicant filed a Transmittal Letter requesting entry into the national stage in the United States of America under 35 U.S.C. § 371 with, *inter alia*, the requisite basic national fee.

On 30 March 2006, a Notification of Missing Requirements was mailed to applicant indicating that an oath or declaration, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.497(a) & (b), and the surcharge for filing the oath or declaration after the thirty month period, was required.

On 02 June 2006, applicant filed a response to the Notification of Missing Requirements along with an executed declaration. Although the published international application did not identify them as such, the declaration identified Erik J. Shahoian and Dean C. Chang as inventors. Applicant filed a petition for correction of inventorship to add them as inventors. In addition to the \$130 petition fee, Petitioner provided the statements of the inventors Shahoian and Chang in support of the correction of inventorship under 37 CFR 1.497(d).

DISCUSSION

Where the oath or declaration filed pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 371(c)(4) and 37 CFR 1.497(d) names an inventive entity different from the inventive entity set forth in the international application, the oath or declaration must be accompanied by: (1) a statement from each person being added as an inventor that any error in inventorship occurred without deceptive intention on his or her part; (2) the fee set forth in § 1.17(i); and (3) if an assignment has been executed by any of the original named inventors, the written consent of the assignee(s).

¹37 CFR 1.497(d) states, in part: If the oath or declaration filed pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 371(c)(4) and this section names an inventive entity different from the inventive entity set forth in the international

Application No.: 10/538,163

2

Applicants provided statements, signed by Erik J. Shahoian and Dean C. Chang, stating that any error in inventorship in the international application occurred without deceptive intent. These statements satisfy item (1) of 37 CFR 1.497(d). The processing fee of \$130.00 has been paid, satisfying Item (2) above. With regard to Item (3), the written consent of the assignee, Immersion Corporation, consenting to the addition of Erik J. Shahoian and Dean C. Chang as inventors in this application was submitted. Item (3) above is satisfied. Accordingly, applicant has met all of the requirements to add Erik J. Shahoian and Dean C. Chang as co-inventors in the above-identified international application.

However, the declaration appears to be a compilation created from the combination of separately executed declarations. The declaration contains multiple Page 4s. It appears that either the attorney pieced together separate complete declarations into one composite declaration or that the inventors were presented with an incomplete declaration. While it is acceptable for applicants to execute separate copies of the declaration, the entire declaration, as executed by the inventor, must be submitted. "Where individual declarations are executed, they must be submitted as individual declaration rather than combined into one declaration." See MPEP 201.03. The declaration is not properly executed. What is required is one declaration where all inventors have signed or separate complete declarations. The requirements of 37 CFR 1.497 (a) and (b) have not been met and the declaration is unacceptable as filed.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons discussed above, the submission under 37 CFR 1.497(d) to add Erik J. Shahoian and Dean C. Chang as inventors is hereby **GRANTED**.

As stated above, the declaration submitted on 02 June 2006 is unacceptable as filed. What is required is one declaration where all inventors have signed or separate complete declarations in compliance with 37 CFR 1.497(a) and (b)

Applicant is required to correct the above-noted defects including the furnishing of an oath/declaration in compliance with 1.497(a) and (b) within ONE (1) MONTH from the mail date of this Decision or the time remaining under the 30 March 2006 Notification of Missing Requirements, whichever is longer. No extension of this time limit may be granted under 37 CFR 1.136 but the period for response set forth in the Notification of Missing Requirements may be extended under 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Please direct further correspondence with respect to this matter to Mail Stop PCT, Commissioner for Patents, Office of PCT Legal Administration, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450, with the contents of the letter marked to the attention of the Office of PCT

application, the oath or declaration must be accompanied by:

- (1) A statement from each person being added as an inventor . . . that any error in inventorship in the international application occurred without deceptive intention on his or her part;
- (2) The processing fee set forth in § 1.17(I); and
- (3) If an assignment has been executed by any of the original named inventors, the written consent of the assignees.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Application No.: 10/538,163

Virginia 22313-1450, with the contents of the letter marked to the attention of the Office of PCT Legal Administration.

Cynthia M. Kratz Attorney Advisor PCT Legal Office

Telephone: (571)272-3286 Facsimile: (571)273-0459